



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/617,532		07/11/2003	Masatoshi Nakayama		3499	
4743	7590	05/10/2006		EXAMINER		
	•	RSTEIN & BORUN	CHEN, TIANJIE			
SEARS T		RIVE, SUITE 6300	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAG	O, IL 606	506	2627			
				DATE MAILED: 05/10/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)
,		10/617,53	2	NAKAYAMA, MASATOSHI
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit
		Tianjie Che	en	2656
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the	cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPCHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute to the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF TH 1.136(a). In no even od will apply and will oute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be tim expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cation to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is no vance except f	for formal matters, pro	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1.3 and 9 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1.3.9 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the least of the oath or declaration is objected to by the least or declaration is objected to be the least or declaration is obj	rawn from con I/or election re ner. ccepted or b)[ne drawing(s) be ection is require	equirement. objected to by the Earth the earth of the design of the des	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume. 2. Certified copies of the priority docume. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure. See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	ents have beer ents have beer riority docume eau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Application nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 tr No(s)/Mail Date	18)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	(PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/617,532

Art Unit: 2656

Non-Final Rejection (RCE)

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 03/01/2006 has been entered. Claims 1, 3, and 9 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Meguro et al (US 6,538,847).
- Claim 1, Meguro et al shows a thin-film magnetic head having an magnetoresistive (MR) head portion 23 (Fig.1, column 6, line 6) containing magnetoresistive elements, wherein a diamond-like carbon (DLC) protective film having a composition represented by the following formula: $CH_a O_b N_c F_d B_e P_f$ (where a = 0, b = 0, c = 0, d = 0, e = 0, a and f = 0, in terms of atomic ratio), and having a

Application/Control Number: 10/617,532

Art Unit: 2656

thickness of 10 - 30 Å (Column 9, lines 24-28), is formed directly (Column 9, lines 24-28) on at least the surface of the MR head portion facing a recording medium.

Claim 9, Meguro et al further shows in Fig. 3 that a slider is equipped with the thin-film magnetic head as described above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meguro et al in view of Nepela et al (US 6,330,131).

Claim 3, Meguro et al does not show a = 0.05 - 0.7.

Nepela et al shows a protective layer wherein a =0.03-0.4 (Column 2, lines 49-54).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to set a=0.03-0.4 as taught by Nepela et al. The rationale is as follows: Meguro et al teaches a protective layer, but does not teach the method of making the layer. Nepela et al teaches a method of making the protective layer and teaches that if keep a=0.03-0.4, the layer would have high hardness (Column 2, lines 49-54). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to set a=0.03-0.4 to obtain high hardness.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,532 Page 4

Art Unit: 2656

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 03/01/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

• This is a US patent application. A US dictionary, rather than a Japanese dictionary, should be used in interpretation of the terms cited in the claim.

several pron, pl in constr (1686): an indefinite number more than two and fewer than many.

From: Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary Tenth Edition.

It clearly shows that the reference reads on claim 1 properly.

Examiner sees no reason the rejection should be traversed.

• Reference shows the protective layer covers the entire surface facing the recording medium, which reads perfectly the language recited in claim 1.

• Rejection maintains.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tianjie Chen whose telephone number is 571-272-7570. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,532

Page 5

Art Unit: 2656

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TIANJIE CHEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER